Review Checklist for Participation in 2004-2005 Team-based Variable Pay

Reader: _	
District: _	
Building:	

Assessment system:

Score	Description
	1. At least one standardized assessment measure for at least reading and
	mathematics must provide pre and post assessment of student progress on a
	school year basis (e.g. fall and spring).
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = reading \ or \ math \ or \ both \ subjects \ to \ only \ part \ of \ the$
	$student\ body;\ 5 = both\ reading\ and\ math\ assessed\ for\ all\ students)$
	2. Pre/post assessments must use the same assessment measure or an
	equivalent measure (an equivalent measure may be an alternate form or an
	assessment that is statistically equated).
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = evidence)$
	3. Approximate times that the pre/post assessment measures are administered.
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = evidence)$
	4. If data are already available from the pre-assessment for the participating
	attendance center, it should be submitted to the Department with this
	application.
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = evidence \ of \ data \ or \ that \ data \ will \ be \ forthcoming)$
	5a. Multiple measures (in addition to the ITBS/ITED) in reading must have
	been administered to all students at the attendance center for at least two years
	before application (i.e. 2001/02 and 2002/03 school years).
	5b. Multiple measures (in addition to the ITBS/ITED) in mathematics must
	have been administered to all students at the attendance center for at least two
	years before application (i.e. 2001/02 and 2002/03 school years).
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = reading \ or \ math \ or \ both \ subjects \ to \ only \ part \ of \ the$
	student body; 5 = both reading and math assessed for all students)
	6. Inclusion of data that documents subgroup achievement and performance
	levels for the measures used to determine progress on the attendance center's
	annual improvement goals.
D '11	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = one \ measure; 5 = two \ measures)$

Possible points: 20 Points awarded: _____

Goals and Targeted Levels of Improvement:

Godis and Targeted Devels of Improvement.		
Score	Description	
	7. Academic goals in the areas of reading and mathematics (may have	
	science).	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence; 5 = exceptional \ evidence)$	
	8. Goals must indicate expected gain (must be numeric). Goals must be	
	improvement goals (gain must be greater than the year before). Explain how	
	the amount of growth in the goal was chosen. If goals are measured by	
	ITBS/ITED must meet or exceed the Annual Measurable Objectives in reading	
	and mathematics as required by NCLB. Effect size or similar measure should	
	be given to illustrate the rigor of the goals.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence; 5 = exceptional \ evidence; 10 =$	
	exceptional evidence including evidence of rigor)	
	9. Mathematical procedure to be used to determine performance increases.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = some \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence)$	
	10. Evidence of the data for which goal(s) is established.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = some \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence)$	
	11. Building goals shall demonstrate alignment with the district-level goals	

	included in the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan and No Child Left	
	Behind. (0 = no evidence: 1 = some evidence: 3 = adequate evidence)	
	(0 = no evidence; 1 = some evidence; 3 = adequate evidence) 12. Assessment measures specified which will be used to document	
	achievement of the goals.	
	(0 = no evidence; $I = some \ evidence$; $3 = adequate \ evidence$)	
Possible r	points: 27 Points awarded:	
r ossiere p		
Local Boa	ard Approval:	
Score	Description	
	15. The local board approved a method for financial rewards at the attendance	
	center level upon attainment of the goals or evidence is provided that the	
	proposal is on the local board agenda for September.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = some \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence)$	
	16. Board minutes included in application.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; \ 1 = evidence)$	
Possible p	points: 4 Points awarded:	
	ration of Readiness	
Score	Description	
	17. Willingness to participate documented.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; \ 1 = evidence)$	
	18. Professional development plans for the attendance center.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence; 5 = exceptional \ evidence)$	
	19. Availability and use of data at the attendance center.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 1 = some \ evidence; 3 = adequate \ evidence)$	
	20. Involvement of all attendance center staff in achieving attendance center	
	goals.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; 3 = evidence)$	
Possible p	points: 12 Points awarded:	
	Award System	
Score	Description	
	21. The proposed financial award system is included in proposal.	
	$(0 = no \ evidence; \ 1 = evidence)$	
Possible p	points: 1 Points awarded:	
m . 1		
Total poir	nts before addition for pilot schools:	
Dossible r	points: 64 Points awarded:	
i ossibie p	onits. 04 Tonits awarded.	
Previous 1	participation:	
	Description	
	School was one of the 20 schools that have previously participated in TBVP	
	pilots.	
	(0 = no; 15 = yes)	
Possible p	points: 15 Points awarded:	
Total: Po	ssible points: 79 Points awarded:	